

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,732	07/16/2003	Michael Weiland	N0169 US	9034	
37583	7590 10/05/200	10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
	ON TECHNOLOG	S	TO, TU	TO, TUAN C	
	PATENT DEPT.	٦	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,			3663		

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·~				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
X		10/620,732	WEILAND ET AL.					
, /	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-				
		Tuan C To	3663					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2003.							
2a)□	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)🖾	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-21 and 26-28</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8,12-14,16,22,24 and 25 is/are rejection	cted.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 9-11,15 and 23 is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	., .,					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachman	ve)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO	-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/29/2003</u> .	6) [_] Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The length of the proposed abstract is longer 150 words. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-8, 13, 14, 16, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-8: The limitations recited in said claims are unclear. The applicant should revise the claim in such a way so that they can clearly define the invention.

Claim 13: The phrase "physical road lane includes a clothoid" recited in claim 13 was held to be indefinite since it was not clear what applicant intended to cover by the recitation "clothoid". *Ex parte Kristensen*, 10 USPQ 2d 1701 (Bd. PA&I. 1989).

Claim 14: The phrase "the geometry of a representation physical road lane includes a spline" recited in claim 14 was held to be indefinite since it was not clear what applicant intended to cover by the recitation "spline". *Ex parte Kristensen*, 10 USPQ 2d 1701 (Bd. PA&I. 1989).

Claims 16, and 25: the applicant recites the following: "the data representations of physical road lanes represent lanes that less than full width". It is unclear to whether the applicant intend to recite each road's width is less than a predetermined value. The applicant should revise the claim or provide explanation in order to define more clearly the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Application/Control Number: 10/620,732 Page 4

Art Unit: 3663

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 12, 16, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura (US 6385536B2) and in view of Kepler (US 20020120397A1).

Claim 1:

With respect to claim 1, the reference to Kimura discloses a navigation system and method for detecting and monitoring lane markers on the road, in which the database (24) shown in figure 1 of Kimura stores route/map data that includes map data, road data, node data, intersection data (Kimura, figure 1; column 8, lines 19-35). According to Kimura the road data is stored in the database (24) is represented by a plurality of links each of which connects adjacent nodes.

Kimura does not disclose the following: "data indicating what physical features are adjacent to the represented physical road lane on a right side and a left side thereof".

The reference to Kepler has been cited as teaching a system and method for retrieving the physical features such as residential, government, and business listings from a database (Kepler, page 2, paragraphs 0024, 0025, 0031, 0032). Said physical features are also shown on a map display including the indication of the location of each feature (Kepler, figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kimura to include the teachings as taught by Kepler so that one user who is traveling from a current position to a destination can find not only the recommended route to the destination but also the interested place or building where the user can make a stop as desired.

With regard to claim 12, Kimura discloses that each road is represented by a plurality of link each of which connects adjacent nodes (Kimura, column 12, lines 23-28).

Claim 22:

With respect to claim 22, the reference to Kimura discloses a navigation system and method for detecting and monitoring lane markers on the road, in which the database (24) shown in figure 1 of Kimura stores route/map data that includes map data, road data, node data, intersection data (Kimura, figure 1; column 8, lines 19-35).

According to Kimura the road data is stored in the database (24) is represented by a plurality of links each of which connects adjacent nodes.

Kimura does not disclose the following: "data indicating what physical features are adjacent to the represented physical road lane on a right side and a left side thereof".

The reference to Kepler has been cited as teaching a system and method for retrieving the physical features such as residential, government, and business listings from a database (Kepler, page 2, paragraphs 0024, 0025, 0031, 0032). Said physical features are also shown on a map display including the indication of the location of each feature (Kepler, figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Kimura to include the teachings as taught by Kepler so that one user who is traveling from a current position to a destination can find not only the recommended route to the destination but also the interested place or building where the user can make a stop as desired.

With regard to claim 24, Kimura disclose a navigation and method comprising a computer system, in which the database stores various data including the road lane data (Kimura, figure 1, database 24).

Allowable Subject Matter

During the prior art searching, the examiner has found none of the references discloses the limitations recited in claims 9-11, 15, 17-21, 23, and 26-28. Therefore, claims 9-11, 15, and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

Application/Control Number: 10/620,732 Page 7

Art Unit: 3663

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 17-21, and 26-28 are allowable.

Conclusions

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (703) 308-6273. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on (703) 305-8233.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/tc

September 18, 2004